

RSPhys Risk Assessment: RA_076110 - Elionix EBL

- This form is used when a documented risk assessment is required in accordance with Appendix A of WHSMS Handbook Chapter 3.1.
- Original risk assessments must be provided in a convenient location accessible by all people affected by the risk assessment.
- Static risk assessments must be forwarded to local WHS Manager for inclusion in the School Static Risk Assessment Register.

| | Static Risk Assessment No. | | | | Assessment Date | Reviewed by Date | Version | 1 | op Residual Risk | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | RA_0761 | 10 | | | | 20 Nov 2023 | 20 Nov 2026 | 1.0 | | Low |
| Name of the activity | Electron E Hazards A | Electron Beam Lithography (EBL), Elionix Boden 125 Hazards Assessed: Plant and Equipment, Electrical, Chemical, Ergonomics and Manual Tasks, After Hours | | | | | | | | |
| Description of the activity | EBL (Elec | tron Beam Lithograph | y) tool is used | to transfer vario | us patterns on s | substrates using a beam o | of electrons and a CAI |) mask. | | |
| School/ Department | Research | School of Physics, EN | ИE | | Location | Building: 160, Roon | n: P3.51H | | | |
| Risk Assessment Team | Supervis | or Horst Punzman | n | | Email | horst.punzmann@anu.e | edu.au | | Ph | +612 612 50001 |
| Have you completed ANU WHS Risk Management | Name | Gayatri Vaidya | | | Email | gayatri.vaidya@anu.edu.au | | | Ph | +612 612 59638 |
| Training? | Name | Rick Walsh | | | Email | rick.walsh@anu.edu.au | | | Ph | +612 612 50105 |
| | Name | | | | Email | | | | Ph | |
| | Name | | | | Email | | | | Ph | |
| Who is affected by this RA? | All people at the location People consulted on this RA. A group of people (list right) A single person (list right) People consulted on this RA. (All persons affected, or their representatives need to be consulted) | | | | | | | | | |
| WHS Legal and Other Requirements | Work Heal Work Heal ANU WHS | Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (Cth) Work Health and Safety Regulations 2011 (Cth) ANU WHSMS Handbook Chapter 3.1: Hazard Management | | | | | | | | |
| Type of RA | ⊠ S | tatic RA (long term, > 6 | 6 months) | Send a copy to V | NHS Manager an | d keep original locally near t | he activity/location, acce | ssible to all | people | e affected. |
| | | ynamic RA (short term | < 6 months) | Keep the original | l locally (electron | ically or physically) near the | activity/location, accessi | ble to all peo | ple af | fected. |



Risk Assessment Instruction

- 1. List the hazards of the activity in the 'Hazards' column of the RA Form. Include information on when and where the hazard is present during the activity.
- 2. Estimate inherent risk of the hazard (without any controls in place) using the Likelihood against Consequences definitions described in Table 1 and Table 2 and the ANU WHS Risk Matrix (Table 3). List them in 'Inherent Risk' column of the RA Form for each hazard.
- 3. Develop control measures in accordance with the Hierarchy of Control Principle (Table 4) and list them in 'Control' column of the RA Form.
- 4. Estimate the residual risk of the hazard after implementing all controls. Remember that engineering, administrative and PPE controls only reduce the likelihood of an event occurring, not the consequences.
- 5. Identify any controls that are not in place as corrective actions and implement them before undertaking the activity.
- 6. Obtain approval from relevant people as identified.
- 7. Identify if this is a static risk assessment (> 6 months) or dynamic risk assessment (< 6 months).
- 8. Send a copy of the static risk assessments to WHS Managers- Keep on file for 7 years.
- 9. Keep originals of risk assessments in close vicinity of the activity. Dynamic risk assessments can be destroyed 1 year after the activity ceases.
- 10. Review the static risk assessments and associated safe work procedures in accordance with Section 3.1.2.6: Step 4 of Chapter 3.1 requirements.

| Risk Assessment | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------|-------------|--|------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Hazards | | Inherent Risk | | Control Measures | | Residual Risk | | |
| Also list where and when can the hazards present? | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk rating | When designing controls, follow the Hierarchy of Controls Principle, assigning the most effective controls before less effective controls (see Table 4). List the control category and the controls for each hazard below. For any controls that are not in place, fill in the Actions table on the next page. | | Consequence | Risk rating | |
| Plant and Equipment ➢ Entanglement and trapping parts ➢ Elevated Work Platform used during maintenance (by authorised vendor service engineers only) ➢ Cables running between main unit and Various electronic racks and vice versa. | Unlikely | Major | High | Isolation All surface lying cables are routed below the floor. Engineering Emergency Stops available on the tool as well as in lab Stools/ benches available to access elevated areas First Aid Equipment on site Access limited to authorized and trained staff through Cardex/Salto Administration Tier 3 Induction (160_L3_P3.51_2.50_CR_SF Induction) Tier 2 Training: ANU Risk Management Training (WHSO03, Pulse) Instruction Manual (IM_160_L3_P3.51H_EBL1) Tier 3 Training EBL1 operator training | Rare | Moderate | Low | |



| Risk Assessment | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|-------------|---|------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Hazards | Inherent Risk | | lisk | Control Measures | | Residual Risk | | |
| Also list where and when can the hazards present? | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk rating | When designing controls, follow the Hierarchy of Controls Principle, assigning the most effective controls before less effective controls (see Table 4). List the control category and the controls for each hazard below. For any controls that are not in place, fill in the Actions table on the next page. | | Consequence | Risk rating | |
| Electrical → Electrical Shock (both minor and major) → Electrocution | Possible | Catastrophic | High | Isolation • Power supply and transformer located at level 2, plant room • Power supply cables routed under floor Engineering • RCDs installed on circuits • Emergency Stop/ EPO (Emergency Power Off) installed on the tool • Emergency Stop/ EPO (Emergency Power Off) installed in the room Administration • Tier 3 Induction (160_L3_P3.51_2.50_CR_SF Induction) • Test and tag of all electrical plugged into electrical sockets. | Rare | Moderate | Low | |



| Risk Assessment | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------|-------------|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Hazards | Int | nerent R | lisk | Control Measures | Residual Risk | | |
| Also list where and when can the hazards present? | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk rating | When designing controls, follow the Hierarchy of Controls Principle, assigning the most effective controls before less effective controls (see Table 4). List the control category and the controls for each hazard below. For any controls that are not in place, fill in the Actions table on the next page. | | Consequence | Risk rating |
| Chemical Flammable Liquids used for cleaning (Isopropanol) Gas lines piped into the room and equipment (Nitorgen) | Possible | Catastrophic | Extreme | Substitution Nitrogen supplied through ½ inch line (max flow rate limited by wall mounted pressure regulator) Engineering maximum volume of 500 ml squeeze bottle placed in the room Oxygen monitors installed in room, linked to building emergency notification systems. Building ventilation system with alarm in case ventilation fails, link to building emergency notification systems Safety Showers / Eye Wash stations available in the area Administration Tier 3 Induction (160_L3_P3.51_2.50_CR_SF Induction) Tier 2 training: Flammable Substances (WHSO16, Pulse) Instruction Manual (IM_160_L3_P3.51H_EBL1) Refilling of flammable liquids to be conducted in wet-benches outside EBL room Containers labelled to GHS requirements Chemical Register PPE Safety goggles/glasses used during sample cleaning | Rare | Minor | Low |
| Ergonomics and Manual Tasks ➢ Repetitive movements ➢ Long duration of the same posture (e.g., sitting) | Possible | Moderate | High | Engineering Ergonomically designed chairs available Administration Tier 2 Training, Setting up your workstation (WHSO20, Pulse) Rest breaks every 20 mins | Rare | Moderate | Low |



| Risk Assessment | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|--------------------|--|------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Hazards | Inherent Risk | | isk | Control Measures | | Residual Risk | | |
| Also list where and when can the hazards present? | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk rating | When designing controls, follow the Hierarchy of Controls Principle, assigning the most effective controls before less effective controls (see Table 4). List the control category and the controls for each hazard below. For any controls that are not in place, fill in the Actions table on the next page. | | Consequence | Risk rating | |
| After hours working ➤ Lack of capacity to respond to emergencies | Likely | Major | Extreme | Elimination At this point in time, NO after-hours work is allowed. Administration However, if after-hours work was to be allowed in exceptional circumstances in the future, a work procedure (including afterhours emergency response) will have to be developed. Approval from Supervisor and WHS Office No usage of chemicals in case of after-hours tool operation Minimum required buddy-policy, e.g.: Minimum 2 people to be present for after-hours work, or Check in system with another person to be established. | Rare | Moderate | Low | |

Actions

The activity must not be commenced until all controls are in place.

List below which controls are currently not in place, who will implement them and by when. Add additional rows as needed.

| List of Controls not in place | Who is to implement them? | Timeframe | Date Completed |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Develop the instruction manual 'IM_160_L3_P3.51H_EBL1_Vx.docx' | Gayatri | 1 month | 05.04.2024 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



If the level of residual risk is assessed as high or extreme,

- 1. Stop the activity immediately; AND
- 2. Tag out the plant/equipment; and/or
- 3. Secure any chemical; and
- 4. Implement, or seek advice from WHS Officer or Subject Matter Experts to implement, additional controls to reduce the residual risk further to medium [Supervisor signature required];
- 5. If the above is not possible, seek approval from relevant authority (High School/Division Director/College Dean; Extreme COO). NOTE: Approval will only be granted in exceptional circumstances after consultation with Associate Director, WEG and/or a Subject Matter Expert. See Chapter 3.1 for details.

| Approval requ | ired | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Worker condu | cted RA | | Student conducted RA | | | | | |
| Residual Risk Level | Authority required | Signature and date | Residual Risk Level | Authority required | Signature and date | | | |
| Low | Author of RA | Gayatri Vaidya | Low | Supervisor | | | | |
| | | 05/04/2024 | | | | | | |
| Medium | Supervisor | | Medium | Supervisor | | | | |
| High | School Director | | High | School Director | | | | |
| Extreme | COO | | Extreme | coo | | | | |



Table 1. Likelihood Table

| Ranking | Description | Probability or frequency of event happening |
|----------------|---|---|
| Almost certain | The hazard is expected to lead to an event in most circumstances at the University | A daily to monthly occurrence |
| Likely | The hazard could lead to an event in most circumstances at the University | Between monthly to yearly occurrence |
| Possible | The hazard has led to an event at some time at the University | Occurs once between 1 to 5 years |
| Unlikely | The hazard could lead to an event at some time | Occurs once between 5 to 20 years |
| Rare | The hazard may lead to an event in exceptional circumstances | Occurs once between 20+ years |

Table 2. Consequences Table

| Ranking | Injury, Illness or Disease | Plant, Equipment, and materials | Environment |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| Catastrophic | Fatality / fatalities or permanent disability. Permanently unable to work | Destroyed or cannot be reused | Long term permanent effect to ecosystems. Significant intervention required to remediate |
| Major | Requiring extensive medical treatment such as hospitalisation as in patient and possibly a Notifiable Incident LTI >1 week | Damage requiring repairs/rebuild and possible recertification prior to reuse, lost use for one or more days | Notification to environmental agency, ecosystem will need time to recover, intervention required to remediate |
| Moderate | Minor medical treatment injury, such as treated by a health professional, hospital outpatient, no potential to be a Notifiable Incident LTI < 1 week and can return to normal duties | Damage requiring a repair/service by a trade/technician within the day | Contamination event that does not impact on ecosystem. Short impact does not need intervention |
| Minor | Injury needing significant first aid treatment and can return to work within shift | Equipment able to be reset or gotten back into operation by the operator | Minor contained contamination ceasing when the short event is over, can remediate (e.g., spill kit) |
| Insignificant | Report only, no injury OR minor first aid (e.g., Band-Aid); short- term discomfort | Report only, no damage | Report only, no contamination |

Table 3. ANU WHS Risk Matrix

| | Insignificant | Minor | Moderate | Major | Catastrophic |
|----------------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Almost certain | Medium (10) | High (14) | Extreme (21) | Extreme (22) | Extreme (25) |
| Likely | Medium (7) | High (13) | High (16) | Extreme (20) | Extreme (24) |
| Possible | Low (4) | Medium (9) | High (15) | High (18) | Extreme (23) |
| Unlikely | Low (2) | Medium (6) | Medium (8) | High (17) | High (19) |
| Rare | Low (1) | Low (3) | Low (5) | Medium (11) | Medium (12) |



Table 4. Hierarchy of Controls

| Level | Examples | Effectiveness |
|--|--|-----------------|
| Elimination | Remove the hazards completely Cease the activity Dispose of unwanted hazardous chemicals or plant etc | Most Effective |
| Substitution | Use less hazardous chemicals Use safer plant equipment Use handset instead of telephone Move smaller weight loads instead of large weight | |
| Isolation | Physical separation from the hazard by distance or complete shielding Install guard rails around edges and holes to floors Move workers to a new room away from hazardous noise | |
| Engineering Control | Use ventilation system Use fume cupboard when working with hazardous chemicals Install guarding around rotating and crushing parts Use trolley or hoist to lift heavy loads Use duress alarm system while doing home interview or offsite field work | |
| Administrative Control | Use Safe Work Procedures [See section 3.1.3.1] or instructions Induction and WHS information Training [See Handbook Chapter 3.2] Contingency Planning and Testing [See section 3.1.3.2] Permit to Work system [See section 3.1.3.3] Signage | |
| Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) | Lab coat Safety glasses/face shield Gloves/cryogenic gloves Respirators/Masks Personal hearing protectors | Least Effective |

Table 5. Risk Assessment and SWP review timeframe

Use this Table to determine review timeframe and frequency for the risk assessment and any safe work procedures.

| Residual Risk | Review Freque | ency | What to do during the review. | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Extreme | 6 months | And/or After an incident where deficiencies | Stop work. Review the control measures and introduce | | | |
| High | 1 Year | in identifying or controlling hazards have been observed | additional control measures to reduce the residual risk to Medium as a maximum. | | | |
| Medium | 2 Years | when changes to the activity need to occur When significant changes (e.g., | Paviau the control measures | | | |
| Low | 3 Years | renovation) to the workplace occursWhen HSRs request a review | Review the control measures. | | | |